Classes of Generalized Continuous Variation Functions on Vilenkin Groups and Their Applications

Ushangi Goginava** and Gvantsa Shavardenidze^b

^aDepartment of Mathematical Sciences

of United Arab Emirates University, P.O. Box No. 15551, Al Ain, Abu Dhabi, UAE;

I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics, I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, University str. 11, Tbilisi 0186, Georgia

b I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics & Department of Mathematics, Faculty of
Exact and Natural Sciences, I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University,
Chavchavadze str. 3, Tbilisi 0128, Georgia
(Received January 25, 2024; Revised May 29, 2023; Accepted June 4, 2024)

Classes of continuous oscillation functions for bounded Vilenkin groups are introduced in the work. Establishing embedding theorems across classes and examining the issue of summability of double Vilenkin–Fourier series.

Keywords: Vilenkin Group, Functions of bounded variation, Summability by Cesáro method

AMS Subject Classification: 42C10, 26A45, 42B08, 43A55.

1. Introduction

The concept of classes of functions of bounded variation was introduced by Jordan in 1881 [27], and it was applied to pointwise convergence of Fourier series. Later, this concept was generalized by many authors. For example, Wiener [32], Young [33], Chanturia [15], Waterman [31], Avdispahic [5], Belov [11] Kita and Yoneda [28], Akhobadze [1-3], Berezhnoi [12-14]. The following were studied in these classes:

- Pointwise convergence of Fourier series;
- Uniform convergence of Fourier series;
- Absolute convergence of Fourier series;
- Summability of Fourier series;
- Rate of the convergence of Fourier series (approximation properties);
- Embedding theorems.

The space of functions of bounded variation for functions of two variables was introduced by Hardy in 1906 [26]. This class has been generalized in the paper of Golubov [25], Sahakian [29], Dyachenko, Waterman [16], Bakhvalov [7-10] and Goginava, Sahakian [17-23].

 $^{^*}$ Corresponding author. Email: zazagoginava@gmail.com

The classes of bounded oscillation functions and their generalisations to Walsh and Vilenkin groups G_m were investigated in the following publications [4, 6, 30].

Our goal in the presented work is to introduce $C\Lambda_1\Lambda_2O\left(G_m^2\right)$ and $C\Lambda^{\#}O\left(G_m^2\right)$ classes of continuous oscillation functions on Vilenkin groups $G_m^2:=G_m\times G_m$. The embedding theorem between classes is used to solve the summability problem of double Vilenkin-Fourier series.

2. Bounded Vilenkin group

Let \mathbb{N}_+ denote the set of positive integers, $\mathbb{N} := \mathbb{N}_+ \cup \{0\}$. Let $m := (m_0, m_1, ...)$ denote a sequence of positive integers not less than 2. Denote by $Z_{m_k} := \{0, 1, ..., m_k - 1, ..$ 1) the additive group of integers modulo m_k . Define the group G_m as the complete direct product of the groups Z_{m_j} , with the product of the discrete topologies of Z_{m_j} 's. The direct product μ of the measures $\mu_k(\{j\}) := \frac{1}{m_k}$ $(j \in Z_{m_k})$ is the Haar measure on G_m with $\mu(G_m) = 1$. If the sequence m is bounded, then G_m is called a bounded Vilenkin group. The elements of G_m can be represented by sequences $x := (x_0, x_1, ..., x_j, ...), (x_j \in Z_{m_i})$. The group operation + in G_m is given by $x + y = (x_0 + y_0 \pmod{m_0}, ..., x_k + y_k \pmod{m_k}, ...)$, where $x=(x_0,...,x_k,...)$ and $y=(y_0,...,y_k,...)\in G_m$. The inverse of + will be denoted by -. In this paper we will consider only bounded Vilenkin group. Set $e_n := (0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ...) \in G_m$ the nth coordinate of which is 1 and the rest are zeros $(n \in \mathbb{N})$. If we define the so-called generalized number system based on m in the following way: $M_0 := 1, M_{k+1} := m_k M_k (k \in \mathbb{N})$, then every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be uniquely expressed as $n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j(n) M_j$, where $\varepsilon_j(n) \in Z_{m_j}$ $(j \in \mathbb{N}_+)$ and only a finite number of $\varepsilon_i(n)$'s differ from zero.

Next, we introduce on G_m an orthonormal system which is called the Vilenkin system. At first define the complex valued functions $r_k(x): G_m \to \mathbb{C}$, the generalized Rademacher functions in this way

$$r_k(x) := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i x_k}{m_k}\right) \ (i^2 = -1, \ x \in G_m, \ k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Now define the Vilenkin system $\psi := (\psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ on G_m as follows:

$$\psi_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k^{n_k}(x) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Specifically, we call this system the Walsh-Paley one if $m \equiv 2$.

We consider the double system $\{\psi_k(x) \times \psi_l(y) : k, l \in \mathbb{N}\}$ on $G_m^2 := G_m \times G_m$. The two-dimensional Fourier coefficients, the rectangular partial sums of the Fourier series, the Dirichlet kernels with respect to the two-dimensional Vilenkin system are defined as follow:

$$\widehat{f}(n_1, n_2) := \int_{G_m^2} f(x, y) \, \overline{\psi}_{n_1}(x) \, \overline{\psi}_{n_2}(y) \, d\mu(x, y) \,,$$

$$S_{n_1,n_2}(x,y,f) := \sum_{k_1=0}^{n_1-1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{n_2-1} \widehat{f}(k_1,k_2) \,\psi_{k_1}(x) \,\psi_{k_2}(y) \,,$$
$$D_{n_1,n_2}(x,y) := D_{n_1}(x) \,D_{n_2}(y) \,,$$

The $(C, -\alpha_1, -\alpha_2)$ means of the two-dimensional Vilenkin-Fourier series are defined as

$$\sigma_{n_{1},n_{2}}^{-\alpha_{1},-\alpha_{2}}(x,y,f) = \frac{1}{A_{n_{1}}^{-\alpha_{1}}A_{n_{2}}^{-\alpha_{2}}} \sum_{i=0}^{n_{1}} \sum_{i=0}^{n_{2}} A_{n_{1}-i}^{-\alpha_{1}}A_{n_{2}-j}^{-\alpha_{2}} \hat{f}(i,j)\psi_{i}\left(u\right)\psi_{j}\left(v\right).$$

3. Generalized continuous oscilation on Vilenkin group

Now let us introduce the concepts of generalized variations on the group G_m^2 . We assume that,

$$1 < \lambda_1^s \le \lambda_2^s \le \dots, \qquad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^s} = \infty (s = 1, 2).$$

Set

$$Z_{\beta}^{(k)} = (x_0, ..., x_{k-1}, 0, ...),$$

where

$$\beta := \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{x_j}{M_{j+1}} \right) M_k \left(x_j \in Z_{m_j} \right).$$

Define

$$\operatorname{osc}_{1}\left(f, Z_{\pi_{1}(\beta_{1})}^{(k_{1})} + I_{k_{1}}, y\right) := \sup_{x, x' \in Z_{\pi_{1}(\beta_{1})}^{(k_{1})} + I_{k_{1}}} \left| f\left(x, y\right) - f\left(x', y\right) \right|,$$

$$\operatorname{osc}_{2}\left(f, x, Z_{\pi_{2}(\beta_{2})}^{(k_{2})} + I_{k_{2}}\right) := \sup_{y, y' \in Z_{\pi_{2}(\beta_{2})}^{(k_{2})} + I_{k_{2}}} \left| f\left(x, y\right) - f\left(x, y'\right) \right|$$

and

$$\operatorname{osc}_{1,2}\left(f, Z_{\pi(\beta_{1})}^{(k_{1})} + I_{k_{1}}, Z_{\pi(\beta_{2})}^{(k_{2})} + I_{k_{2}}\right)$$

$$:= \sup_{y,y' \in Z_{\pi_{2}(\beta_{2})}^{(k_{2})} + I_{k_{2}}, x, x' \in Z_{\pi_{1}(\beta_{1})}^{(k_{1})} + I_{k_{1}}} \left| f\left(x,y\right) - f\left(x,y'\right) - f\left(x',y\right) + f\left(x',y'\right) \right|,$$

where π_1 and π_2 are permutations of the sets $\{0,1,...,M_{k_1}-1\}$ and $\{0,1,...,M_{k_2}-1\}$, respectively. For the sequence of positive numbers $\Lambda^1:=\{\lambda_n^1:n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ and $\Lambda^2:=\{\lambda_n^2:n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ we denote

$$\Lambda^{1}O_{1}\left(f;G_{m}^{2}\right) = \underset{y}{\operatorname{supsup}} \underset{k_{1}}{\sup} \sum_{\pi_{1}}^{M_{k_{1}}-1} \frac{\operatorname{osc}_{1}\left(f,Z_{\pi_{1}(\beta_{1})}^{(k_{1})} + I_{k_{1}},y\right)}{\lambda_{\beta_{1}}^{1}},$$

$$\Lambda^{2}O_{2}\left(f;G_{m}^{2}\right) = \underset{x}{\operatorname{supsup}} \underset{k_{2}}{\sup} \sum_{\pi_{2}}^{M_{k_{2}}-1} \frac{\operatorname{osc}_{2}\left(f,x,Z_{\pi_{2}(\beta_{2})}^{(k_{2})} + I_{k_{2}}\right)}{\lambda_{\beta_{2}}^{2}}$$

and

$$\Lambda^{1}\Lambda^{2}O_{1,2}\left(f;G_{m}^{2}\right) = \sup_{k_{1},k_{2}} \sup_{\pi_{1},\pi_{2}} \sum_{\beta_{1}=0}^{M_{k_{1}}-1} \sum_{\beta_{2}=0}^{M_{k_{2}}-1} \frac{\csc_{1,2}\left(f,Z_{\pi_{1}(\beta_{1})}^{(k_{1})} + I_{k_{1}},Z_{\pi_{2}(\beta_{2})}^{(k_{2})} + I_{k_{2}}\right)}{\lambda_{\beta_{1}}^{1}\lambda_{\beta_{2}}^{2}}.$$

Definition 3.1: Let $\Lambda^s = \{\lambda_n^s\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\Lambda_k^s = \{\lambda_n^s\}_{n=k}^{\infty}, s = 1, 2, k = 1, 2, \dots$ We say that the function f is continuous in $\Lambda_1\Lambda_2$ -oscilation and write $f \in C\Lambda_1\Lambda_2O\left(G_m^2\right)$, if

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \Lambda_k^1 O_1\left(f; G_m^2\right) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \Lambda_k^2 O_2\left(f; G_m^2\right) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \Lambda_k^1 \Lambda^2 O_{1,2}\left(f; G_m^2\right) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \Lambda^1 \Lambda_k^2 O_{1,2} \left(f; G_m^2 \right) = 0.$$

Define

$$\Lambda^{\#}O_{1}\left(f;G_{m}^{2}\right) = \sup_{k_{1}} \sup_{\pi_{1}} \sup_{\left\{y_{\beta_{1}}\right\}} \sum_{\beta_{1}=0}^{M_{k_{1}}-1} \frac{\operatorname{osc}_{1}\left(f,Z_{\pi_{1}\left(\beta_{1}\right)}^{(k_{1})}+I_{k_{1}},y_{\beta_{1}}\right)}{\lambda_{\beta_{1}}^{1}}$$

and

$$\Lambda^{\#}O_{2}\left(f;G_{m}^{2}\right) = \sup_{k_{2}} \sup_{\pi_{2}} \sup_{\left\{x_{\beta_{2}}\right\}} \sum_{\beta_{2}=0}^{M_{k_{2}}-1} \frac{\csc_{2}\left(f,x_{\beta_{2}},Z_{\pi_{2}(\beta_{2})}^{(k_{2})}+I_{k_{2}}\right)}{\lambda_{\beta_{2}}^{2}}.$$

Definition 3.2: Let $\Lambda^s = \{\lambda_n^s\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\Lambda_k^s = \{\lambda_n^s\}_{n=k}^{\infty}, s = 1, 2, k = 1, 2, \dots$ We say that the function f is continuous in $\Lambda^{\#}$ -oscilation and write $f \in C\Lambda^{\#}O\left(G_m^2\right)$, if

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \Lambda_k^{\#} O_s\left(f; G_m^2\right) = 0 \quad (s = 1, 2).$$

Throughout the paper, instead of the following inequality $a < c \cdot b$, the notation $a \lesssim b$ will be used, where the constant c may depend on α_1 and α_2 .

4. Embedding theorem

Theorem 4.1: Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, 1)$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 < 1$. Then

$$C\left\{i^{1-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}\right\}^{\#}O\left(G_m^2\right)\subset C\left\{i^{1-\alpha_1}\right\}\left\{j^{1-\alpha_2}\right\}O\left(G_m^2\right).$$

Proof: [Proof of Theorem 4.1] We assume that $f \in C\left\{i^{1-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}\right\}^\# O\left(G_m^2\right)$ and must demonstrate that the conditions of definitions 3.1 are satisfied. It is easy to see that

$$\{i^{1-\alpha_1}\}_n O_1(f; G_m^2) \le \{i^{1-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}\}_n^\# O_1(f; G_m^2) \to 0$$
 (1)

as $n \to \infty$. Analogously, we can prove that

$$\left\{j^{1-\alpha_2}\right\}_n O_2\left(f; G_m^2\right) \to 0 \tag{2}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Now we prove that $\lim_{k \to \infty} \left\{ i^{1-\alpha_1} \right\}_k \left\{ j^{1-\alpha_2} \right\} O_{1,2} \left(f; G_m^2 \right) = 0$. According to the Supremum's definition, it is sufficient to demonstrate that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{\beta_1 = n}^{M_{k_1} - 1} \sum_{\beta_2 = 0}^{M_{k_2} - 1} \frac{\left| f\left(x'_{\beta_1}, y'_{\beta_2}\right) - f\left(x_{\beta_1}, y'_{\beta_2}\right) - f\left(x'_{\beta_1}, y_{\beta_2}\right) + f\left(x_{\beta_1}, y_{\beta_2}\right) \right|}{\beta_1^{1 - \alpha_1} \beta_2^{1 - \alpha_2}} = 0.$$

where

$$(x'_{\beta_1}, y'_{\beta_2}), (x_{\beta_1}, y_{\beta_2}) \in (Z^{(k_1)}_{\pi_1(\beta_1)} + I_{k_1}) \times (Z^{(k_2)}_{\pi_2(\beta_2)} + I_{k_2}).$$

First, consider the case when $M_{k_1} \geq M_{k_2}$. We can write

$$\sum_{\beta_{1}=n}^{M_{k_{1}}-1} \sum_{\beta_{2}=0}^{M_{k_{2}}-1} \frac{\left| f\left(x'_{\beta_{1}}, y'_{\beta_{2}}\right) - f\left(x_{\beta_{1}}, y'_{\beta_{2}}\right) - f\left(x'_{\beta_{1}}, y_{\beta_{2}}\right) + f\left(x_{\beta_{1}}, y_{\beta_{2}}\right) \right|}{\beta_{1}^{1-\alpha_{1}} \beta_{2}^{1-\alpha_{2}}}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{\beta_{1}=n}^{M_{k_{2}}-1} \sum_{\beta_{2}=0}^{\beta_{1}-1} + \sum_{\beta_{1}=n}^{M_{k_{2}}-1} \sum_{\beta_{2}=\beta_{1}+1}^{M_{k_{2}}-1} + \sum_{\beta_{1}=M_{k_{2}}}^{M_{k_{1}}-1} \sum_{\beta_{2}=0}^{M_{k_{2}}-1} \right)$$

$$\frac{\left| f\left(x'_{\beta_{1}}, y'_{\beta_{2}}\right) - f\left(x_{\beta_{1}}, y'_{\beta_{2}}\right) - f\left(x'_{\beta_{1}}, y_{\beta_{2}}\right) + f\left(x_{\beta_{1}}, y_{\beta_{2}}\right) \right|}{\beta_{1}^{1-\alpha_{1}} \beta_{2}^{1-\alpha_{2}}}$$

$$: = A_{1} + A_{2} + A_{3}.$$
(3)

We have

$$A_{1} \leq \sum_{\beta_{1}=n}^{M_{k_{2}}-1} \frac{1}{\beta_{1}^{1-\alpha_{1}}} \sum_{\beta_{2}=0}^{\beta_{1}-1} \frac{\left| f\left(x_{\beta_{1}}', y_{\beta_{2}}'\right) - f\left(x_{\beta_{1}}, y_{\beta_{2}}'\right) \right|}{\beta_{2}^{1-\alpha_{2}}}$$

$$+ \sum_{\beta_{1}=n}^{M_{k_{2}}-1} \frac{1}{\beta_{1}^{1-\alpha_{1}}} \sum_{\beta_{2}=0}^{\beta_{1}-1} \frac{\left| f\left(x_{\beta_{1}}, y_{\beta_{2}}\right) - f\left(x_{\beta_{1}}', y_{\beta_{2}}\right) \right|}{\beta_{2}^{1-\alpha_{2}}}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{\beta_{1}=n}^{M_{k_{2}}-1} \frac{\left| f\left(x_{\beta_{1}}', \overline{y}_{\beta_{1}}'\right) - f\left(x_{\beta_{1}}, \overline{y}_{\beta_{1}}'\right) \right|}{\beta_{1}^{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}}$$

$$\lesssim \left\{ i^{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}} \right\}_{n}^{\#} O_{1}\left(f; G_{m}^{2}\right) \to 0$$

$$(4)$$

as $n \to \infty$, where

$$|f(x'_{\beta_1}, \overline{y}'_{\beta_1}) - f(x_{\beta_1}, \overline{y}'_{\beta_1})| = \sup_{0 \le \beta_2 < \beta_1} |f(x_{\beta_1}, y_{\beta_2}) - f(x'_{\beta_1}, y_{\beta_2})|.$$

Analogously, we have

$$A_{2} \lesssim \sum_{\beta_{2}=n}^{M_{k_{2}}-1} \frac{\left| f\left(\overline{x}_{\beta_{2}}, y_{\beta_{2}}'\right) - f\left(\overline{x}_{\beta_{2}}, y_{\beta_{2}}\right) \right|}{\beta_{2}^{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}}$$

$$\lesssim \left\{ i^{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}} \right\}_{n}^{\#} O_{2}\left(f; G_{m}^{2}\right) \to 0$$

$$(5)$$

as $n \to \infty$, where

$$\left| f\left(\overline{x}_{\beta_2}, y_{\beta_2}'\right) - f\left(\overline{x}_{\beta_2}, y_{\beta_2}\right) \right| = \sup_{0 \le \beta_1 < \beta_2} \left| f\left(x_{\beta_1}, y_{\beta_2}'\right) - f\left(x_{\beta_1}, y_{\beta_2}\right) \right|.$$

Using the same approach, we get

$$A_{3} \leq \sum_{\beta_{1}=M_{k_{2}}}^{M_{k_{1}}-1} \frac{\left| f\left(x_{\beta_{1}}', \overline{y}_{\beta_{1}}\right) - f\left(x_{\beta_{1}}, \overline{y}_{\beta_{1}}\right) \right|}{\beta_{1}^{1-\alpha_{1}}} \sum_{\beta_{2}=0}^{M_{k_{2}}-1} \frac{1}{\beta_{2}^{1-\alpha_{2}}}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{\beta_{1}=M_{k_{2}}}^{M_{k_{1}}-1} \frac{\left| f\left(x_{\beta_{1}}', \overline{y}_{\beta_{1}}\right) - f\left(x_{\beta_{1}}, \overline{y}_{\beta_{1}}\right) \right|}{\beta_{1}^{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}}$$

$$\lesssim \left\{ i^{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}} \right\}_{n}^{\#} O_{1}\left(f; G_{m}^{2}\right) \to 0$$

$$(6)$$

as $n \to \infty$. Now consider the case when $M_{k_1} < M_{k_2}$ and we can write

$$\sum_{\beta_{1}=n}^{M_{k_{1}}-1} \sum_{\beta_{2}=0}^{M_{k_{2}}-1} \frac{\left| f\left(x'_{\beta_{1}}, y'_{\beta_{2}}\right) - f\left(x_{\beta_{1}}, y'_{\beta_{2}}\right) - f\left(x'_{\beta_{1}}, y_{\beta_{2}}\right) + f\left(x_{\beta_{1}}, y_{\beta_{2}}\right) \right|}{\beta_{1}^{1-\alpha_{1}} \beta_{2}^{1-\alpha_{2}}} = \underbrace{\sum_{\beta_{1}=n}^{M_{k_{1}}-1} \sum_{\beta_{2}=0}^{\beta_{1}} \frac{\left| f\left(x'_{\beta_{1}}, y'_{\beta_{2}}\right) - f\left(x_{\beta_{1}}, y'_{\beta_{2}}\right) - f\left(x'_{\beta_{1}}, y_{\beta_{2}}\right) + f\left(x_{\beta_{1}}, y_{\beta_{2}}\right) \right|}{\beta_{1}^{1-\alpha_{1}} \beta_{2}^{1-\alpha_{2}}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\beta_{1}=n}^{M_{k_{1}}-1} \sum_{\beta_{2}=\beta_{1}+1}^{M_{k_{2}}-1} \frac{\left| f\left(x'_{\beta_{1}}, y'_{\beta_{2}}\right) - f\left(x_{\beta_{1}}, y'_{\beta_{2}}\right) - f\left(x'_{\beta_{1}}, y'_{\beta_{2}}\right) + f\left(x_{\beta_{1}}, y_{\beta_{2}}\right) \right|}{\beta_{1}^{1-\alpha_{1}} \beta_{2}^{1-\alpha_{2}}}.$$

$$\frac{\left| f\left(x'_{\beta_{1}}, y'_{\beta_{2}}\right) - f\left(x'_{\beta_{1}}, y'_{\beta_{2}}\right) - f\left(x'_{\beta_{1}}, y'_{\beta_{2}}\right) + f\left(x_{\beta_{1}}, y_{\beta_{2}}\right) \right|}{\beta_{1}^{1-\alpha_{1}} \beta_{2}^{1-\alpha_{2}}}.$$

As above we obtain the following estimates

$$B_1 \lesssim \left\{ i^{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2} \right\}_n^\# O_1\left(f; G_m^2\right) \to 0$$
 (8)

and

$$B_2 \lesssim \left\{ i^{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2} \right\}_n^\# O_2\left(f; G_m^2\right) \to 0$$
 (9)

as $n \to \infty$.

Combining (1)-(9) we complete the proof of Theorem 4.1.

5. Summability of double Vilenkin-Fourier series

Set

$$\Delta_2 f(x, y, e_{k_2}) := f(x, y - e_{k_2}) - f(x, y),$$

$$\Delta_1 f(x, y, e_{k_1}) := f(x - e_{k_1}, y) - f(x, y)$$

and

$$\Delta_{12} f(x, y, e_{k_1}, e_{k_2}) := f(x - e_{k_1}, y - e_{k_2}) - f(x - e_{k_1}, y) - f(x, y - e_{k_2}) + f(x, y).$$

Assume $C\left(G_m^2\right)$ is the space of continuous functions defined on group G_m^2 with supreme norm (C-norm). The dyadic partial moduli of continuity of a function $f \in C\left(G_m^2\right)$ in the C-norm are defined by

$$\omega_{1}\left(f, \frac{1}{M_{n}}\right)_{C} = \sup_{u \in I_{n}} \left\|f\left(\cdot - u, \cdot\right) - f\left(\cdot, \cdot\right)\right\|_{C},$$

$$\omega_{2}\left(f, \frac{1}{M_{n}}\right)_{C} = \sup_{v \in I_{n}} \left\|f\left(\cdot, \cdot - v\right) - f\left(\cdot, \cdot\right)\right\|_{C},$$

while the dyadic mixed modulus of continuity is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{1,2}\left(f,\frac{1}{M_{n}},\frac{1}{M_{m}}\right)_{C} \\ &= \sup_{(u,v)\in I_{n}\times I_{m}}\left\|f\left(\cdot-u,\cdot-v\right)-f\left(\cdot-u,\cdot\right)-f\left(\cdot,\cdot-v\right)+f\left(\cdot,\cdot\right)\right\|_{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now formulate the central theorem.

Theorem 5.1: Let $f \in C\left(G_m^2\right) \cap C\left\{i^{1-\alpha_1}\right\}\left\{i^{1-\alpha_2}\right\} O\left(G_m^2\right)$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0,1)$. Then

$$\lim_{\min(n_1,n_2)\to\infty}\left\|\sigma_{n_1,n_2}^{-\alpha_1,-\alpha_2}\left(f,\cdot,\cdot\right)-f\left(\cdot,\cdot\right)\right\|_{C(G_m^2)}=0.$$

Proof: [Proof of Theorem 5.1] To establish the theorem, just test the validity of the following three conditions (see [24])

$$\lim_{\min(n_1, n_2) \to \infty} \left\| \sum_{\beta_1 = 1}^{M_{k_1} - 1} \sum_{\beta_2 = 1}^{M_{k_2} - 1} \frac{1}{\beta_1^{1 - \alpha_1}} \frac{1}{\beta_2^{1 - \alpha_2}} \left| \Delta_{1, 2} \left(\cdot - Z_{\beta_1}^{(k_1)}, \cdot - Z_{\beta_2}^{(k_2)} \right) \right| \right\|_{C(G_n^2)} = 0, (10)$$

$$\lim_{n_2 \to \infty} \left\| \sum_{\beta_2 = 1}^{M_{k_2} - 1} \frac{1}{\beta_2^{1 - \alpha_2}} \left| \Delta_2 \left(\cdot, \cdot - Z_{\beta_2}^{(k_2)} \right) \right| \right\|_{C(G_m^2)} = 0 \tag{11}$$

and

$$\lim_{n_1 \to \infty} \left\| \sum_{\beta_1 = 1}^{M_{k_1} - 1} \frac{1}{\beta_1^{1 - \alpha_1}} \left| \Delta_1 \left(\cdot - Z_{\beta_1}^{(k_1)}, \cdot \right) \right| \right\|_{C(G^2)} = 0.$$
 (12)

Let $\{\theta_1(M_{k_1})\}$, $\{\theta_2(M_{k_2})\}$ and $\theta_3(M_{k_1}, M_{k_2})$ be a subsequence of natural numbers which satisfie the following conditions:

$$\theta_1(M_{k_1}), \theta_2(M_{k_2}), \theta_3(M_{k_1}, M_{k_2}) \to \infty,$$

$$\omega_1 \left(f, \frac{1}{M_{k_1}} \right)_C \theta_1^{\alpha_1} \left(M_{k_1} \right) \to 0, \tag{13}$$

$$\omega_2\left(f, \frac{1}{M_{k_2}}\right)_C \theta_2^{\alpha_2}\left(M_{k_2}\right) \to 0 \tag{14}$$

and

$$\omega_{1,2}\left(f, \frac{1}{M_{k_1}}, \frac{1}{M_{k_2}}\right)_C \theta_3^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}\left(M_{k_1}, M_{k_2}\right) \to 0.$$
 (15)

as $k_1, k_2 \to \infty$. Firstly, let us verify that due to (13) the condition (11) is true. In a similar manner, using (14) the fairness of condition (12) may be verified

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{\beta_{2}=1}^{M_{k_{2}}-1} \frac{1}{\beta_{2}^{1-\alpha_{2}}} \left| \Delta_{2} \left(x, y - Z_{\beta_{2}}^{(k_{2})} \right) \right| \\ &= \sum_{\beta_{2}=1}^{\theta_{2}(M_{k_{2}})-1} \frac{1}{\beta_{2}^{1-\alpha_{2}}} \left| \Delta_{2} \left(x, y - Z_{\beta_{2}}^{(k_{2})} \right) \right| + \sum_{\beta_{2}=\theta_{2}(M_{k_{2}})}^{M_{k_{2}}-1} \frac{1}{\beta_{2}^{1-\alpha_{2}}} \left| \Delta_{2} \left(x, y - Z_{\beta_{2}}^{(k_{2})} \right) \right| \\ &\lesssim \left\{ \omega_{2} \left(f, \frac{1}{M_{n}} \right)_{C} \theta^{\alpha_{2}} \left(M_{k_{2}} \right) + \left\{ i^{1-\alpha_{2}} \right\}_{\theta(M_{k_{2}})} O_{2} \left(f; G_{m}^{2} \right) \right\} \to 0 \end{split}$$

as $n_2 \to \infty$. Analogously, we can prove (10) using (15). Indeed, we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{\beta_{1}=1}^{M_{k_{1}}-1} \sum_{\beta_{2}=1}^{M_{k_{2}}-1} \frac{1}{\beta_{1}^{1-\alpha_{1}}} \frac{1}{\beta_{2}^{1-\alpha_{2}}} \left| \Delta_{1,2} \left(x - Z_{\beta_{1}}^{(k_{1})}, y - Z_{\beta_{2}}^{(k_{2})} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\beta_{1}=1}^{M_{k_{1}},M_{k_{2}}} \sum_{j=1}^{M_{k_{1}}-1} \frac{1}{\beta_{1}^{1-\alpha_{1}}} \frac{1}{\beta_{2}^{1-\alpha_{2}}} \left| \Delta_{1,2} \left(x - Z_{\beta_{1}}^{(k_{1})}, y - Z_{\beta_{2}}^{(k_{2})} \right) \right| \\ &+ \sum_{\beta_{1}=1}^{M_{k_{1}}-1} \sum_{\beta_{2}=\theta_{3}\left(M_{k_{1}},M_{k_{2}}\right)}^{M_{k_{2}}-1} \frac{1}{\beta_{1}^{1-\alpha_{1}}} \frac{1}{\beta_{2}^{1-\alpha_{2}}} \left| \Delta_{1,2} \left(x - Z_{\beta_{1}}^{(k_{1})}, y - Z_{\beta_{2}}^{(k_{2})} \right) \right| \\ &+ \sum_{\beta_{1}=\theta_{3}\left(M_{k_{1}},M_{k_{2}}\right)}^{M_{k_{1}}-1} \sum_{\beta_{2}=1}^{M_{k_{2}}-1} \frac{1}{\beta_{1}^{1-\alpha_{1}}} \frac{1}{\beta_{2}^{1-\alpha_{2}}} \left| \Delta_{1,2} \left(x - Z_{\beta_{1}}^{(k_{1})}, y - Z_{\beta_{2}}^{(k_{2})} \right) \right| \\ &\lesssim \left\{ \omega_{1,2} \left(f, \frac{1}{M_{k_{1}}}, \frac{1}{M_{k_{2}}} \right)_{C} \theta_{3}^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}} \left(M_{k_{1}}, M_{k_{2}} \right) \\ &+ \left\{ i^{1-\alpha_{1}} \right\} \left\{ j^{1-\alpha_{2}} \right\}_{\theta_{3}\left(M_{k_{1}},M_{k_{2}}\right)} O_{1,2} \left(f; G_{m}^{2} \right) \\ &+ \left\{ i^{1-\alpha_{1}} \right\}_{\theta_{3}\left(M_{k_{1}},M_{k_{2}}\right)} \left\{ j^{1-\alpha_{2}} \right\} O_{1,2} \left(f; G_{m}^{2} \right) \right\}. \end{split}$$

The following is obtained from Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.1

Theorem 5.2: Let $f \in C(G_m^2) \cap C(i^{1-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)})^\# O(G_m^2)$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0,1)$, $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 < 1$. Then

$$\lim_{\min(n_1, n_2) \to \infty} \left\| \sigma_{n_1, n_2}^{-\alpha_1, -\alpha_2} (f, \cdot, \cdot) - f(\cdot, \cdot) \right\|_{C(G_m^2)} = 0.$$

References

 T. Akhobadze. On the convergence of generalized Cesáro means of trigonometric Fourier series, I. Acta Math. Hungar., 115, 1-2 (2007), 59-78

- T. Akhobadze. On the convergence of generalized Cesáro means of trigonometric Fourier series, II.
 Acta Math. Hungar., 115, 1-2, (2007), 79-100
- [3] T.I. Akhobadze. Continuity of a function of several variables in a class of generalized bounded variation, Acta Math. Hungar., 48, 3-4 (1986), 317-341
- [4] M. Avdispahic. Concepts of generalized variation on Vilenkin groups and convergence of Fourier-Vilenkin series, In Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai, 49 (1985), 145-163
- [5] M. Avdispahic. On the classes avb and v[v(n)]. In Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 95 (1985), 230-235
- [6] M. Avdispahic and N. Memic. On the Lebesgue test for convergence of Fourier series on unbounded Vilenkin groups, Acta Math. Hungar, 129, 4 (2010), 381-392
- [7] A. Bakhvalov. On local behaviour of multi-dimensional harmonic variation, Izvestiya: Mathematics, 70, 4 (2006), 641
- [8] A. Bakhvalov. Convergence and localization of multiple Fourier series for classes of bounded λvariation. Moscow University Mathematics Bulletin, 63 (2008), 85-91
- [9] A. Bakhvalov. On the local behaviour of the multidimensional λ-variation. Sbornik: Mathematics, 201, 11 (2010), 1563
- [10] A. Bakhvalov. Continuity in λ-variation and summation of multiple Fourier series by Cesáro methods, Mathematical Notes, 90 (2010), 469-484
- [11] A. S Belov. Relations between some classes of generalized variation, reports of enlarged sessions of the seminar of I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics, 3 (1988), 11-13
- [12] E.I. Berezhnoi. Spaces of functions of generalized bounded variation, II. Problems of the uniform convergence of Fourier series. Sibirsk. Mat. Zh., 42, 3 (2001), 515-532
- [13] E.I. Berezhnoi. Two-sided estimates of the K-functional for spaces of functions of generalized bounded variation, Funct. Anal. Appl., **56**, 1 (2022), 19-26 Translation of Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen, **56**, 1 (2022), 26-36
- [14] E.I. Berezhnoi. Two-sided estimates of Lebesgue constants for spaces of functions of generalized bounded variation and Hölder spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 537 2 (2024), 128347
- [15] Z. Chanturiya. The modulus of variation of a function and its application in the theory of Fourier series, In Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 214 (1974), 63-66
- [16] M.I. Dyachenko and D. Waterman. Convergence of double Fourier series and W-classes, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 357, 1 (2005), 397-407
- [17] U. Goginava. On the uniform convergence of multiple trigonometric Fourier series, East J. Approx,
- 5, 3 (1999), 253-266
 [18] U. Goginava. Maximal operators of (C, a)-means of cubic partial sums of d-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series, Anal. Math., 33, 4 (2007), 263-286
- [19] U. Goginava. The weak type inequality for the walsh system. Studia Math, 185 (2008), 135-48
- [20] U. Goginava. Cesáro means of negative order of double Fourier series and generalized bounded variation, Siberian Mathematical Journal, 54, 6 (2013), 1005-1013
- [21] U. Goginava and A. Sahakian. On the convergence of Cesáro means of negative order of double trigonometric Fourier series of functions of bounded partial generalized variation, Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum, 77 (2011), 451-471
- [22] U. Goginava and A. Sahakian. Convergence of double Fourier series and generalized λ-variation, Georgian Mathematical Journal, 19, 3 (2012), 497-509
- [23] U. Goginava and A. Sahakian. Summability of multiple Fourier series of functions of bounded generalized variation. Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, 280, 1 (2013), 144-155
- [24] U. Goginava and Gv. Shavardenidze. Classes of generalized bounded variation functions on Vilenkin groups and their applications, Submitted
- [25] B.I Golubov. Convergence of double Fourier series for functions of bounded generalized variation, pt. 1. Siberian Mathematical Journal, 15 (1974), 183-204
- [26] H. Hardy. On double Fourier series, and especially those which represent the double zeta-function with real and incommensurable parameters, Quart. J. Math, 37, 1 (1906), 53-79
- [27] C. Jordan. Sur la series de Fourier, CR Acad. Sci., Paris, 92 (1881), 228-230
- [28] H. Kita and K. Yoneda. A generalization of bounded variation, Acta Mathematica Hungarica, 56 (1990), 229-238
- [29] A. Sahakian. On convergence of double Fourier series of functions of bounded harmonic variation, In Proc. Armenian Acad. Sci. Math. Ser, 21 (1986), 517-529
- [30] F. Schipp, W. R. Wade, and P. Simon. Walsh series. Adam Hilger, Ltd., Bristol, 1990. An introduction to dyadic harmonic analysis, With the collaboration of J. Pal.
- [31] D. Waterman. On convergence of Fourier series of functions of generalized bounded variation, Studia math, 44, 2 (1972), 107-117
- [32] N. Wiener. The quadratic variation of a function and its Fourier coefficients, Journal of Mathematics and Physics, 3, 2 (1924), 72-94
- [33] L. C Young. Sur un generalization de la notion de variation de winer et sur la convergence de series de Fourier, CR Acad. Sci. Paris, 20, 4 (1937), 470-472