SOME PROPERTIES OF UNILATERAL DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS OF TWO VARIABLES

I. Tsivtsivadze

Akaki Tsereteli Kutaisi State University 59, Queen Tamara Ave., Kutaisi 4600, Georgia

(Received: 25.07.2011; accepted: 29.12.2011) Abstract

The notion of unilateral differentiability of functions of two variables is introduced by O. Dzagnidze. Some properties of such functions are considered.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases:$ Unilateral differentiable functions of two variables, partial differential, symmetrical differentiability.

AMS subject classification: 26B05, 26B35.

1 The Unilateral Differentiability of Functions of Two Variables

Let U(0) and $U^0(0) = U(0) \setminus \{0\}$ denote the neighborhood and the punctured neighborhood of the point O = (0, 0). We use the following sets ([1, p. 43]):

$$\begin{split} A_1^+ &= \{(h,k) \in U(0): h > 0\}, \quad A_2^+ &= \{(0,k) \in U(0): k > 0\}, \\ A_1^- &= \{(h,k) \in U(0): h < 0\}, \quad A_2^- &= \{(0,k) \in U(0): k < 0\}, \\ A_{12}^+ &= A_1^+ \cup A_2^+, \quad A_{12}^- &= A_1^- \cup A_2^-. \end{split}$$

It is obvious that

$$A_{12}^+ \cap A_{12}^- = \varnothing$$
 and $A_{12}^+ \cup A_{12}^- = U^0(0).$ (1.1)

Let us introduce the following two definitions.

Definition 1.1. ([2]) A function f(x, y) is called right-differentiable at the point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ if the equality

$$\lim_{\substack{(h,k)\to(0,0)\\(h,k)\in A_{12}^+}} \frac{f(x_0+h,y_0+k) - f(x_0,y_0) - A^+h - B^+k}{|h| + |k|} = 0$$
(1.2)

I. Tsivtsivadze

is fulfilled for some finite numbers A^+ and B^+ , and the linear function $A^+h + B^+k$ for $(h,k) \in A^+_{12}$ is called a right-differential of f at the point p_0 , denoted by $d^+f(p_0)$ and we write

$$d^+f(p_0) = A^+h + B^+k. (1.3)$$

Definition 1.2. ([2]) A function f(x, y) is called left-differentiable at the point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ if there exist finite numbers A^- and B^- such that the equality

$$\lim_{\substack{(h,k)\to(0,0)\\(h,k)\in A_{12}^-}}\frac{f(x_0+h,y_0+k)-f(x_0,y_0)-A^-h-B^-k}{|h|+|k|} = 0$$
(1.4)

is fulfilled, and the linear function $A^-h + B^-k$ is called a left-differential of f at the point p_0 , denoted by $d^-f(p_0)$, $(h,k) \in A_{12}^-$, and we write

$$d^{-}f(p_0) = A^{-}h + B^{-}k.$$
(1.5)

The next two propositions are obvious.

Proposition 1.1. ([2]) A differentiable at a point p_0 function f(x, y) is bilaterally differentiable at p_0 and the equalities

$$d^{+}f(p_{0}) = df(p_{0}), \quad d^{-}f(p_{0}) = df(p_{0}),$$

$$A^{+} = A^{-} = f'_{\widehat{x}}(p_{0}), \quad B^{+} = B^{-} = f'_{\widehat{y}}(p_{0})$$
(1.6)

are fulfilled.

Proposition 1.2. ([2]) If a function f(x, y) is bilaterally differentiable at a point p_0 and the equalities $A^+ = A^-$ and $B^+ = B^-$ are fulfilled, then f is differentiable at p_0 and

$$A^{+} = f'_{\widehat{x}}(p_0) = A^{-}, \quad B^{+} = f'_{\widehat{y}}(p_0) = B^{-}.$$
(1.7)

2 A Symmetrical Differential and Unilateral Differentials of Functions of Two Variables

Definition 2.1. ([3]) A function $\varphi(x, y)$ is called symmetrically differentiable at a point (x_0, y_0) if there exist finite constants A and B with the property

$$\lim_{(h,k)\to(0,0)}\frac{\varphi(x_0+h,y_0+k)-\varphi(x_0-h,y_0-k)-2Ah-2Bk}{|h|+|k|} = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

When a function is symmetrically differentiable at a point (x_0, y_0) , then the coefficients of its symmetrical differential at (x_0, y_0)

$$d^{sym}\varphi(x_0, y_0) = A\,dx + B\,dy \tag{2.2}$$

are symmetrical partial derivatives of φ at the point (x_0, y_0) with respect to the variables x and y:

$$A = \varphi_x^{(1)}(x_0, y_0), \quad B = \varphi_y^{(1)}(x_0, y_0),$$

$$d^{sym}\varphi(x_0, y_0) = \varphi_x^{(1)}(x_0, y_0) \, dx + \varphi_y^{(1)}(x_0, y_0) \, dy.$$
 (2.3)

A symmetrical differential and unilateral differentials are related in the manner as follows

Theorem 2.1. Let a function f have, at a point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0)$, two unilateral differentials $d^+f(p_0)$ and $d^-f(p_0)$. Then there exists at p_0 its symmetrical differential $d^{sym}f(p_0)$ and the equality

$$d^{sym}f(p_0) = \frac{1}{2} \left[d^-f(p_0) + d^+f(p_0) \right]$$
(2.4)

is fulfilled.

Proof. Assume that equalities (1.2) and (1.3) are fulfilled. Since the point

(-h, -k) belongs to the set A_{12}^- and the function f is left-differentiable at the point p_0 , the equality

$$\lim_{\substack{(h,k)\to(0,0)\\(-h,-k)\in A_{12}^-}}\frac{f(x_0-h,y_0-k)-f(x_0,y_0)-A^-(-h)-B^-(-k)}{|-h|+|-k|} = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

is fulfilled too.

Moreover, we have the equality

$$\frac{f(x_0 + h, y_0 + k) - f(x_0, y_0) - A^+ h - B^+ k}{|h| + |k|} - \frac{f(x_0 - h, y_0 - k) - f(x_0, y_0) - A^-(-h) - B^-(-k)}{|-h| + |-k|}$$

$$= \frac{f(x_0 + h, y_0 + k) - f(x_0 - h, y_0 - k) - 2\frac{A^+ + A^-}{2}h - 2\frac{B^+ + B^-}{2}k}{|h| + |k|}.$$
(2.6)

The left-hand side of this equality tends to zero by virtue of equalities (1.2)and (2.5) when $(h,k) \in A_{12}^+$ and $(h,k) \to (0,0)$. Thus the right-hand side of (2.6) also tends to zero and

$$d^{sym}f(p_0) = \frac{1}{2} \left(A^+ - A^-\right) dx + \frac{1}{2} \left(B^+ - B^-\right) dy = \frac{1}{2} \left(A^+ dx + B^+ dx\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(A^- dx + B^- dx\right) = \frac{1}{2} d^+ f(p_0) + \frac{1}{2} d^- f(p_0).$$

The theorem is proved.

The theorem is proved.

3 The Properties of a Unilaterally Differentiable Function of Two Variables

We will investigate a unilaterally differentiable function with respect to each independent variable. For this we need to introduce some definitions. **Definition 3.1.** The following limits

$$\partial_x^+ f(p_0) = \lim_{h \to 0+} \frac{f(x_0 + h, y_0) - f(x_0, y_0)}{h}, \qquad (3.1)$$

$$\partial_y^+ f(p_0) = \lim_{k \to 0+} \frac{f(x_0, y_0 + k) - f(x_0, y_0)}{k}$$
(3.2)

are called the right partial derivatives of a function f(x, y) with respect to the variables x and y at the point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0)$. The left partial derivatives of a function f(x, y) with respect to x and y at the point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ are defined analogously:

$$\partial_x^- f(p_0) = \lim_{h \to 0^-} \frac{f(x_0 + h, y_0) - f(x_0, y_0)}{h}, \qquad (3.3)$$

$$\partial_y^- f(p_0) = \lim_{k \to 0^-} \frac{f(x_0, y_0 + k) - f(x_0, y_0)}{k} \,. \tag{3.4}$$

Putting k = 0 in equality (1.2) we obtain

Proposition 3.1. If a function f(x, y) is right-differentiable at a point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0)$, then for the constants A^+ and B^+ from equality (1.3) we have

$$A^{+} = \partial_{x}^{+} f(p_{0}), \quad B^{+} = \partial_{y}^{+} f(p_{0}) \text{ and} d^{+} f(p_{0}) = \partial_{x}^{+} f(p_{0}) dx + \partial_{y}^{+} f(p_{0}) dy.$$
(3.5)

Analogously we have

Proposition 3.2. If a function f(x, y) is left-differentiable at a point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0)$, then for the constants A^- and B^- from equality (1.5) we have

$$A^{-} = \partial_x^{-} f(p_0), \quad B^{-} = \partial_y^{-} f(p_0) \text{ and}$$

$$d^{-} f(p_0) = \partial_x^{-} f(p_0) \, dx + \partial_y^{-} f(p_0) \, dy. \tag{3.6}$$

Further we need the following

Definition 3.2. ([1, pp. 83-84]) The limits

$$\partial_{\widehat{x}}^{+} f(p_0) = \lim_{\substack{h \to 0+\\|k| \le ch}} \frac{f(x_0 + h, y_0 + k) - f(x_0, y_0 + k)}{h}, \qquad (3.7)$$

$$\partial_{\hat{y}}^{+} f(p_0) = \lim_{\substack{k \to 0+\\k \ge l|h|}} \frac{f(x_0 + h, y_0 + k) - f(x_0 + h, y_0)}{k}, \qquad (3.8)$$

if they do not depend on arbitrary constants c > 0 and l > 0, are called the right angular partial derivatives of a function f(x, y) at the point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ with respect to the variables x and y.

We define analogously the left angular partial derivatives of a function f at the point p_0 with respect to x and y:

$$\partial_{\widehat{x}}^{-}f(p_{0}) = \lim_{\substack{h \to 0-\\|k| \le -ch}} \frac{f(x_{0}+h, y_{0}+k) - f(x_{0}, y_{0}+k)}{h}, \quad c > 0, \qquad (3.9)$$

$$\partial_{\widehat{y}}^{-} f(p_0) = \lim_{\substack{k \to 0-\\k \ge -l|h|}} \frac{f(x_0 + h, y_0 + k) - f(x_0 + h, y_0)}{k}, \quad l > 0.$$
(3.10)

Theorem 3.1. Let a function f(x, y) be right-differentiable at a point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ and let the equality

$$B^+ = \partial_u^- f(p_0) \tag{3.11}$$

be fulfilled. Then there exist finite $\partial_y f(p_0)$, $\partial_x^+ f(p_0)$ and the equality

$$d^{+}f(p_{0}) = \partial_{\hat{x}}^{+}f(p_{0}) \, dx + \partial_{y}f(p_{0}) \, dy \tag{3.12}$$

is fulfilled.

Proof. From equalities (3.5) and (3.11) we obtain

$$B^+ = \partial_y f(p_0) \tag{3.13}$$

and we are to consider only those points (h, k) from the set A_{12}^+ where h > 0. Taking into account the equality $A^+ = \partial_x^+ f(p_0)$ from (3.5) and equality (3.13), equality (1.2) implies that to each number $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ there corresponds a number $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\varepsilon_1, p_0) > 0$ with the property

$$\left| f(x_0 + h, y_0 + k) - f(x_0, y_0) - A^+ h - k \partial_y f(p_0) \right| < \varepsilon_1(h + |k|), \quad 0 < h < \delta_1, \quad |k| < \delta_1.$$
 (3.14)

Along with this, equality (3.13) implies the fulfillment of the inequality

$$|f(x_0, y_0 + k) - f(x_0, y_0) - k\partial_y f(p_0)| < \varepsilon_1 |k|, \quad |k| < \delta_2.$$
(3.15)

Using estimates (3.14) and (3.15), from the equality

$$f(x_0 + h, y_0 + k) - f(x_0, y_0 + k) - A^+ h$$

= $[f(x_0 + h, y_0 + k) - f(x_0, y_0) - A^+ h - k \partial_y f(p_0)]$ (3.16)
- $[f(x_0, y_0 + k) - f(x_0, y_0) - k \partial_y f(p_0)]$

we obtain

$$\left| f(x_0 + h, y_0 + k) - f(x_0, y_0 + k) - A^+ h \right| < \varepsilon_1(h + |k|) + \varepsilon_1|k|, \quad 0 < h < \delta_3, \quad |k| < \delta_3,$$
 (3.17)

where $\delta_3 = \min{\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}}$. Hence we have the estimate

$$\left| \frac{f(x_0 + h, y_0 + k) - f(x_0, y_0 + k)}{h} - A^+ \right| < 2\varepsilon_1 \left(1 + \frac{|k|}{h} \right), \quad 0 < h < \delta_3, \quad |k| < \delta_3, \quad (3.18)$$

Let us take an arbitrary constant c > 0 and let the tendency $(h, k) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ obey the condition $|k| \leq ch$. If now for $\varepsilon > 0$ given in any manner we put $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{\varepsilon}{2(1+c)}$ in (3.18), then we obtain

$$\left|\frac{f(x_0+h, y_0+k) - f(x_0, y_0+k)}{h} - A^+\right| < \varepsilon, \tag{3.19}$$

when $0 < h < \delta$, $|k| < \delta$ and $|k| \leq ch$, where $\delta = \delta(c, \varepsilon, p_0) > 0$. This means that there exists the finite $\partial_{\hat{x}}^+ f(p_0)$ and the equality

$$A^+ = \partial_{\widehat{x}}^+ f(p_0) \tag{3.20}$$

is fulfilled.

Now equality (3.12) follows from equalities (1.3), (3.20) and (3.13). \Box

Remark 3.1. From (3.7) it obviously follows that the definition of the number $\partial_x^+ f(p_0)$ contains both positive and negative values of the increment k. Therefore the equality $B^+ = \partial_y^+ f(p_0)$ from relations (3.5) is not sufficient for the fulfilment of inequality (3.15) without which it is impossible to establish the existence of the number $\partial_x^+ f(p_0)$. This is the reason for which Theorem 3.1 contains the assumption as to the fulfilment of equality (3.11).

Corollary 3.1. If a function f(x, y) is right-differentiable at a point p_0 and there exists the finite $\partial_y f(p_0)$, then there exists the finite $\partial_x^+ f(p_0)$ and the equality

$$d^+f(p_0) = \partial_{\hat{x}}^+f(p_0)\,dx + \partial_y f(p_0)\,dy \tag{3.21}$$

is fulfilled.

The following statement is proved analogously.

Theorem 3.2. Let a function f(x, y) be left-differentiable at a point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ and let the equality

$$B^- = \partial_u^+ f(p_0) \tag{3.22}$$

be fulfilled. Then there exist finite $\partial_y f(p_0)$, $\partial_{\widehat{x}}^- f(p_0)$ and

$$d^{-}f(p_0) = \partial_{\widehat{x}}^{-}f(p_0)\,dx + \partial_y f(p_0)\,dy. \tag{3.23}$$

Corollary 3.2. Assume that a function f(x, y) is left-differentiable at a point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ and let there exist the finite $\partial_y f(p_0)$. Then there exists the finite $\partial_{\hat{x}} f(p_0)$ and

$$d^{-}f(p_{0}) = \partial_{\hat{x}}^{-}f(p_{0})\,dx + \partial_{y}f(p_{0})\,dy.$$
(3.24)

4 Unilateral differentials and unilateral strong partial derivatives

Now we need the following

Definition 4.1. ([1, p. 82]) The right strong partial derivatives of a function f(x, y) at a point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ with respect to the variables x and y are defined by the equalities

$$\partial_{[x]}^{+}f(p_{0}) = \lim_{\substack{(h,k) \to (0,0)\\h>0}} \frac{f(x_{0}+h, y_{0}+k) - f(x_{0}, y_{0}+k)}{h}, \qquad (4.1)$$

$$\partial_{[y]}^{+} f(p_0) = \lim_{\substack{(h,k) \to (0,0)\\k>0}} \frac{f(x_0 + h, y_0 + k) - f(x_0 + h, y_0)}{k} \,. \tag{4.2}$$

We define analogously the left strong partial derivatives with respect to the variables x and y for a function f(x, y) at a point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0)$:

$$\partial_{[x]}^{-}f(p_0) = \lim_{\substack{(h,k)\to(0,0)\\h<0}}\frac{f(x_0+h,y_0+k) - f(x_0,y_0+k)}{h}, \qquad (4.3)$$

$$\partial_{[y]}^{-}f(p_0) = \lim_{\substack{(h,k) \to (0,0)\\k < 0}} \frac{f(x_0 + h, y_0 + k) - f(x_0 + h, y_0)}{k} \,. \tag{4.4}$$

Theorem 4.1. A sufficient condition for a function f(x, y) to be rightdifferentiable at a point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ is the existence of finite $\partial^+_{[x]} f(p_0)$ and $\partial_y f(p_0)$. If this condition is fulfilled, then we have

$$d^{+}f(p_{0}) = \partial_{[x]}^{+}f(p_{0}) \, dx + \partial_{y}f(p_{0}) \, dy.$$
(4.5)

proof. The existence of finite $\partial^+_{[x]} f(p_0)$ and $\partial_y f(p_0)$ is equivalent to the fulfillment of the inequalities

$$\left| f(x_0 + h, y_0 + k) - f(x_0, y_0 + k) - h\partial^+_{[x]} f(p_0) \right| < \varepsilon h, \quad 0 < h < \delta_1, \ |k| < \delta_1,$$

$$(4.6)$$

 $|f(x_0, y_0 + k) - f(x_0, y_0) - k\partial_y f(p_0)| < \varepsilon |k|, \quad |k| < \delta_2.$ (4.7)

By virtue of these inequalities, from the equality

$$f(x_0 + h, y_0 + k) - f(x_0, y_0) - h\partial^+_{[x]} f(p_0) - k\partial_y f(p_0)$$

= $\left[f(x_0 + h, y_0 + k) - f(x_0, y_0 + k) - h\partial^+_{[x]} f(p_0) \right]$
+ $\left[f(x_0, y_0 + k) - f(x_0, y_0) - k\partial_y f(p_0) \right]$

we obtain the estimate

$$\left| f(x_0 + h, y_0 + k) - f(x_0, y_0) - h\partial^+_{[x]} f(p_0) - k\partial_y f(p_0) \right| < \varepsilon(h + |k|), \quad (4.8)$$

when $h + |k| < \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$. Inequality (4.8) implies the fulfillment of equality (1.2) for the numbers $A^+ = \partial_{[x]}^+ f(p_0)$ and $B^+ = \partial_y f(p_0)$. Hence, according to (1.3), equality (4.5) is valid.

We prove analogously

Theorem4.2. A sufficient condition for a function f(x, y) to be leftdifferentiable at a point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ is the existence of finite $\partial_{[x]}^- f(p_0)$ and $\partial_y f(p_0)$. Under this assumption we have

$$d^{-}f(p_{0}) = \partial_{[x]}^{-}f(p_{0}) \, dx + \partial_{y}f(p_{0}) \, dy.$$
(4.9)

Note that from Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 we obtain the following known condition for the existence of a differential.

Theorem4.3. ([1, p. 80]) If a function f(x, y) has finite $\partial_{[x]}f(p_0)$ and $\partial_y f(p_0)$ at a point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0)$, then the function f is differentiable at p_0 and equality

$$df(p_0) = \partial_{[x]} f(p_0) \, dx + \partial_y f(p_0) \, dy \tag{4.10}$$

is fulfilled.

References

 O. Dzagnidze, Some new results of the continuity and differentiability of functions of several real variables, Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst. 134 (2004), 1–138.

I. Tsivtsivadze

- O. Dzagnidze, The smoothness of functions of two variables and double trigonometric series, Real Analysis Exchange 34(2) (2008/2009), 451–470.
- 3. M. Okropiridze, Symmetric differentiability of functions of two variables, Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst. **123** (2000), 105–115.