ON THE OPTIMAL STOPPING PROBLEM OF INHOMOGENEOUS MARKOV PROCESS

P. Babilua, B. Dochviri, M. Patsatsia, G. Lominashvili

Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University 0143 University Street 2, Tbilisi, Georgia

(Received: 21.11.07; accepted: 17.05.08) Abstract

General questions of the theory of optimal stopping for an inhomogeneous Markov process with observation cost are investigated. The connection between optimal stopping problems for an inhomogeneous standard Markov process and the corresponding homogeneous Markov process constructed in the extended state space is established. A detailed characterization of a value-function and the limit procedure for its construction in the problem of optimal stopping of an inhomogeneous standard Markov process is given. The form of ε -optimal (optimal) stopping times is also found.

Key words and phrases: Inhomogeneous Markov process, stopping time, payoff, excessive function, extension of state space, universal completion.

AMS subject classification: 60J05, 91B28, 91B70.

1 Introduction

General questions of the theory of optimal stopping of a homogeneous standard Markov process are set forth in the monograph [1]. An excessive characterization of the payoff, the methods of its construction, the form of ε -optimal and optimal stopping times are given in various restrictions on the gain function.

In the present work, the questions of optimal stopping theory for an inhomogeneous (with infinite lifetime) standard Markov process with the observation cost are studied. By extending the state space and the space of elementary events the problems of optimal stopping for the inhomogeneous case can be reduced to the corresponding problems for homogeneous standard Markov processes from which an excessive characterization of a value-function, the method of its construction and the form of ε -optimal (optimal) stopping times for the initial problem are found.

It should be noted that using the method of state space extension in the papers [2], [5], [7], the form of ε -optimal stopping times was established

for the case of optimal stopping of homogeneous Markov processes on a bounded time interval.

Here we consider the inhomogeneous (with infinite lifetime) standard Markov process

$$X = (\Omega, \mathcal{M}^s, \mathcal{M}^s_t, X_t, P_{s,x}), \ 0 \le s \le t < +\infty,$$

in the state space $(\mathsf{E}, \mathcal{B}), [3], [6].$

Let the gain function f(t, x) and the observation cost $c(t, x) \ge 0$ be Borel measurable functions (i.e. measurable with respect to the product σ algebra $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B}[0, +\infty) \otimes \mathcal{B}$) which is defined on $\mathsf{E}' = [0, +\infty) \times \mathsf{E}$ and f(t, x)takes its values in $(-\infty, +\infty]$. It is assumed the observation stopping time t we obtain a gain

$$g(t,x) = f(t,x) - \int_{0}^{t} c(s,X_s) \, ds$$

It is further assumed that the following integrability condition of a random process $g(t, X_t(\omega)), t \ge 0$, is fulfilled:

$$M_{s,x} \sup_{t \ge s} g^-(t, X_t) < +\infty, \ s \ge 0, \ x \in \mathsf{E}.$$
 (1)

The optimal stopping problem for the process X with gain g(t, x) is stated as follows: the value-function (payoff) v(s, x) is introduced in the form

$$v(s,x) = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{M}_s} M_{s,x} g(\tau, X_\tau), \tag{2}$$

where $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is the class of all finite $(P_{s,x}\text{-a.s.})$ M_t^s , $t \geq s$ -stopping times; it is required to find the stopping time τ_{ε} (for each $\varepsilon \geq 0$) for which

$$M_{s,x}g(\tau_{\varepsilon}, X_{\tau_{\varepsilon}}) \ge v(s, x) - \varepsilon$$

for any $x \in \mathsf{E}$.

Such a stopping time is called ε -optimal, and in the case $\varepsilon = 0$ it is called simply an optimal stopping time.

To construct ε -optimal (optimal) stopping times it is necessary to characterize the value v(s, x) and for this purpose the following notion of an excessive function turns out to be fundamental.

A function f(t, x) given on E' and taking its values in $(-\infty, +\infty]$ such that it is measurable with respect to the universal completion \mathcal{B}'^* of the σ -algebra \mathcal{B}' , is called excessive (with respect to X) if

1)
$$M_{s,x}f^{-}(t, X_t) < +\infty, \quad 0 \le s \le t < +\infty, \quad x \in \mathsf{E},$$

2) $M_{s,x}f(t, X_t) \le f(s, x), \quad t \ge s, \quad x \in \mathsf{E},$
3) $M_{s,x}f(t, X_t) \rightarrow f(s, x), \quad if \quad t \downarrow s, \quad x \in \mathsf{E}.$
(3)

2 Construction of a Homogeneous Standard Markov Process in the Extended State Space

Let us introduce now the new space of elementary events $\Omega' = [0, +\infty) \times \Omega$ with elements $\omega' = (s, \omega)$, a new state space (extended state space) $\mathsf{E}' = [0, +\infty) \times \mathsf{E}$ with the σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B}[0, +\infty) \otimes \mathcal{B}$, the new random process X' with values in $(\mathsf{E}', \mathcal{B}')$

$$X'_t(\omega') = X'_t(s,\omega) = (s+t, X_{s+t}(\omega)), \ s \ge 0, \ t \ge 0,$$

and the translation operators Θ'_t

$$\Theta'_t(s,\omega) = (s+t,\omega), \ s \ge 0, \ t \ge 0,$$

where it is obvious that

$$X'_u(\Theta'_t(\omega')) = X'_{u+t}(\omega'), u \ge 0, \ t \ge 0.$$

In the space Ω' we introduce the σ -algebra

$$N^0 = \sigma(X'_u, u \ge 0), \quad N^0_t = \sigma(X'_u, 0 \le u \le t)$$

and on the σ -algebra N^0 the probability measures

$$P'_{x'}(A) = P'_{(s,x)}(A) \equiv P_{s,x}(A_s),$$

where $A \in N^0$ and A_s is the section of A at the point s

$$A_s = \{\omega : (s, x) \in A\},\$$

where it is easy to see that $A_s \in \mathcal{F}^s \equiv \sigma(X_u, u = s)$ and if $a \in N_t^0$, then $A_s \in \mathcal{F}_{s+t}^s \equiv \sigma(X_u, s \le u \le s+t)$.

Consider the function

$$P'(h, x', B') \equiv P'_{x'}(X'_h \in B').$$

We have to verify that this function is measurable in x' for a fixed $h \ge 0$. For the rectangles $B' = \Gamma \times B$ which generate the σ -algebra \mathcal{B}' we have

$$P'(h, x', B') = P_{s,x}(\omega : (s+h, X_{s+h}(\omega)) \in \Gamma \times B) = I_{(s+h\in\Gamma)}P_{s,x}(X_{s+h}\in B).$$

The function P'(h, x', B') is measurable in x', and hence we can introduce the measures $P'_{\mu'}$ on $(\mathsf{E}', \mathcal{B}')$. Let us perform the completion of σ -algebra N^0 with respect to the family of all measures $P'_{\mu'}$, denote this completion by N' and then perform the completion of each σ -algebra N^0_t in N' with respect to the same family of measures denoting them by N'_t .

The following key result (in a somewhat different form) was proved in the paper [2].

Theorem 2.1 The random process

$$X' = (\Omega', N', N'_t, X'_t, \Theta'_t, P'_{x'}), \ t \ge 0,$$

is a homogeneous standard Markov process in the space $(\mathsf{E}', \mathcal{B}')$.

Proof. The main step in the proof is to verify that the process X'_t , $t \ge 0$ is strong Markov, i.e. we have to show that

$$M'_{x'}[f'(X'_{\tau'+h}) \cdot I_{(\tau'<\infty)}] = M'_{x'}[M'_{X'_{\tau'}}f'(X'_h)I_{(\tau'<\infty)}], \tag{4}$$

where f'(x') is an arbitrary bounded \mathcal{B}' -measurable function and τ' is an arbitrary N_{t+}^0 -stopping time. Using the monotone class theorem, it suffices to prove this relation for the indicator functions

$$f'(x') = I_{(s\in\Gamma)} \cdot I_{(x\in B)}.$$

Note that if $\tau'(\omega')$ is an N_{t+}^0 -stopping time, then $\tau(\omega) = s + \tau'(s, \omega)$ is a \mathcal{F}_{t+}^{0} , $t \geq s$ -stopping time where $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{s} = \sigma(X_{u}, s \leq u \leq t), t \geq s$.

We have

$$(\omega: \ \tau(\omega) < t) = (\omega: \ \tau'(s, \omega) < t - s) = (\omega': \ \tau'(\omega') < t - s)_s,$$

but $(\omega': \tau'(\omega') < t-s) \in N^0_{t-s}$, therefore the section $(\omega': \tau'(\omega') < t-s)_s$ belongs to \mathcal{F}_t^s . Thus $\tau(\omega)$ is a \mathcal{F}_{t+}^s , $t \geq s$ -stopping time and the variable $\tau(\omega) = s + \tau'(s, \omega)$ is a $\mathcal{M}_t^s, t \ge s$ -stopping time.

We know from Proposition 7.3, Ch. I in [3] that the strong Markov property (4) of the process X' remains true for arbitrary N'_t , $t \ge 0$ -stopping times τ' and from Proposition 8.12, Ch. I in [3] we get that $N'_t = N'_{t+}$. The quasi-left-continuity of the process X' now easily follows from the same property of X. Theorem 1 is proved.

The Optimal Stopping Problem for Processes 3 X and X' and the Connection Between Them

Let f(x') = f(s, x) be an arbitrary Borel measurable function (i.e. \mathcal{B}' measurable) which is given on E' and takes its values in $(-\infty, +\infty]$. Consider the following sets

$$A = \left\{ \omega' : \lim_{t \downarrow 0} f(X'_t) = f(X'_0) \right\},$$

$$B = \left\{ \omega' : \text{ the path } f(X'_t(\omega')) \text{ is right continuous on } [0, +\infty) \right\}.$$

+

Obviously, the sections A_s and B_s can be written in the form

$$A_s = \left\{ \omega : \lim_{t \downarrow s} f(t, X_t(\omega)) = f(s, X_s(\omega)) \right\},$$

$$B_s = \left\{ \omega : \text{ the path } f(t, X_t(\omega)) \text{ is right continuous on } [s, +\infty) \right\}.$$

Theorem 3.1 The sets A and B belong to N^{0*} (N^{0*} is the universal completion of N^0) and the sections A_s and B_s belong to \mathcal{F}^{s*} (\mathcal{F}^{s*} is the universal completion of $\mathcal{F}^s = \sigma(X_u, u \ge s)$).

Further we have

$$P'_{s,x}(A) = P_{s,x}(A_s), \quad P'_{s,x}(B) = P_{s,x}(B_s).$$
(5)

Proof. The set A can be written as

$$A = \Big\{ \omega': \lim_{k \to \infty} \sup_{0 < t < \frac{1}{k}} f(X'_t(\omega')) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \inf_{0 < t < \frac{1}{k}} f(X'_t(\omega')) = f(X'_0(\omega')) \Big\}.$$

We get from Theorem 13, Ch. III in [4] that the latter sets are N^0 -analytic and hence they belong to the universal completion of N^0 . Thus the set Aitself belongs to N^{0*} . As for the set B, we get from Theorem 34, Ch. IV in [4] that this set is a completion of the N^0 -analytic set, hence $B \in N^{0*}$. The same reasoning shows that A_s and B_s belong to the universal completion \mathcal{F}^{s*} of the σ -algebra \mathcal{F}^s . For the measure $P'_{s,x}$ and for the sets A and Bbelonging to the universal completion of N^0 there obviously exist sets A^1 , A^2 , B^1 , B^2 belonging to N^0 such that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A^1 & \subseteq & A \subseteq A^2, & B^1 \subseteq B \subseteq B^2, P'_{s,x}(A^1) = P'_{s,x}(A) = P'_{s,x}(A^2), P'_{s,x}(B^1) \\ & = & P'_{s,x}(B) = P'_{s,x}(B^2). \end{array}$$

But by the definition of the measure $P'_{s,x}$ we have

$$P'_{s,x}(A^{1}) = P'_{s,x}(A^{1}_{s}),$$

$$P'_{s,x}(A^{2}) = P_{s,x}(A^{2}_{s}), P'_{s,x}(B^{1}) = P'_{s,x}(B^{1}_{s}),$$

$$P'_{s,x}(B^{2}) = P_{s,x}(B^{2}_{s}).$$

From these relations and the inclusions $A_s^1 \subseteq A_s \subseteq A_s^2$, $B^1 \subseteq B \subseteq B^2$ it easily follows that

$$P'_{s,x}(A) = P'_{s,x}(A_s), \quad P'_{s,x}(B) = P_{s,x}(B_s).$$
(6)

Theorem 2 is proved.

+

Let us consider the optimal stopping problem for the process X' with the same gain g(x') = g(s, x) (x' = (s, x)) satisfying the conditions

$$M'_{x'} \sup_{t \geq 0} g^-(X'_t) < \infty, \ x' \in E', P'_{x'} \{ \omega': \ \lim_{t \downarrow 0} g(X'_t) = g(x') \} = 1, \ x' \in \mathsf{E}',$$

and with the value v'(x') defined by

$$v'(x') = \sup_{\tau' \in \mathfrak{M}'} M'_{x'}g(X'_{\tau'}), \tag{7}$$

where \mathfrak{M}' is the class of all finite $(P'_{x'}$ -a.s.) N'_t , $t \ge 0$ -stopping times.

Our next step consists in establishing the connection between the valuefunctions v(s, x) and v'(s, x).

Theorem 3.2 The values of the initial optimal stopping problem (9) coincide

$$v(s,x) = v'(s,x), \ s \ge 0, \ x \in \mathsf{E}.$$
 (8)

Proof. Consider first the N'_t , $t \ge 0$ -stopping time τ' . By Proposition 7.3, Ch. I in [3], for τ' and fixed x' = (s, x) there exists an N^0_{t+} , $t \ge 0$ -stopping time $\tilde{\tau}'$ such that $P'_{x'}(\tau' = \tilde{\tau}') = 1$. We have

$$M'_{x'}g(X'_{\tilde{\tau}'}) = M_{s,x}g(s + \tilde{\tau}'(s,\omega), X_{s+\tilde{\tau}'(s,\omega)}) = = M_{s,x}g(\tau(\omega), X_{\tau(\omega)}),$$

where $s + \tilde{\tau}'(s, \omega) \equiv \tau(\omega)$ is an $\mathcal{M}_t^s, t \geq s$ -stopping time. Hence it is obvious that

$$v'(s,x) \le v(s,x). \tag{9}$$

It remains to establish that the opposite inequality it true. Denote by $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ the class of all \mathcal{M}^{s}_{t} , $t \geq s$ -stopping times taking their values from the finite set

$$s, s+2^{-n}, \dots, s+k \cdot 2^{-n}, \dots, s+n$$

Obviously,

$$\mathfrak{M}^{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{s}} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}^{\mathfrak{n}+1}_{\mathfrak{s}}, \ \mathfrak{n} = 1, 2, \dots,$$

For every $\tau \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ define the sequence τ_n of stopping times

$$\tau_n = \{ s + k2^{-n}, if a + (k-1)2^{-n} \le \tau < s + k2^{-n}, s + n if \tau \ge s + n. \}$$

It is clear that $\tau_n \in \mathfrak{M}^{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{s}}$, and starting from some $n(\omega)$ the sequence $\tau_n(\omega)$ decreases to $\tau(\omega)$. Using the right continuity of paths $g(t, X_t(\omega))$, $t \geq s$ ($P_{s,x}$ -a.s.), we can write

$$g(\tau, X_{\tau}) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} g(\tau_n, X_{\tau_n}) \quad (P_{s,x} - a.s.).$$

Hence by Fatou's lemma we get

$$M_{s,x}g(\tau, X_{\tau}) \leq \lim_{n} M_{s,x}g(\tau_n, X_{\tau_n}).$$

Consequently,

$$v(s,x) = \sup_{\tau \in \bigcup_{n} \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{n}}} M_{s,x}g(\tau, X_{\tau}) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{n}}} M_{s,x}g(\tau, X_{\tau}).$$

Consider the expression

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{M}^{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{s}}} M_{s,x} g(\tau, X_{\tau})$$

which optimal stopping problem in the represents the value of the sequence

$$\{g(s+k2^{-n}, X_{s+k2^{-n}}), \mathcal{M}_{s+k2^{-n}}\}, k = 0, 1, \dots, n2^{-n}\}$$

It is well-known that for this problem there always exists an optimal stopping time having form

$$\sigma_n = \min\{s + k2^{-n} : \gamma_k^n = g(s + k2^{-n}, X_{s+k2^{-n}})\},\$$

where the sequence γ_k^n is constricted recursively

$$\gamma_k^n = \max\left\{g(s+k2^{-n}, X_{s+k2^{-n}}), M_{s,x}(\gamma_{k+1}^n / \mathcal{M}_{s+k2^{-n}})\right\}.$$

It easily follows from these recursion relations that γ_k^n is a Borel function of $X_{s+k2^{-n}}$. Therefore σ_n has the following form

$$\sigma_n = \min\{s + k2^{-n} : X_{s+k2^{-n}} \in B_k^n\},\$$

where the sets B_k^n belong to the σ -algebra \mathcal{B} .

Thus we get

$$v(s,x) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \uparrow M_{s,x}g(\sigma_n, X_{\sigma_n}).$$

Define now the corresponding $N_t^0, t \ge 0$ -stopping times

$$\sigma'_n = \min\{k2^{-n}: X'_{k2^{-n}} \in [0, +\infty) \times B^n_k\}.$$

We have

$$M'_{s,x}g(X'_{\sigma'_n}) = M_{s,x}g(X'_{\sigma'_n(s,\omega)}(s,\omega)) =$$

= $M_{s,x}g(s + \sigma'_n(s,\omega), X_{s+\sigma'_n(s,\omega)}(\omega))$
= $M_{s,x}g(\sigma_n, X_{\sigma_n})$

as $s + \sigma'_n(s, \omega) = \sigma_n(\omega)$. Therefore

$$M_{s,x}g(\sigma_n, X_{\sigma_n}) = M'_{s,x}g(X'_{\sigma'_n}) \le v'(s, x).$$

Thus $v(s, x) \leq v'(s, x)$ and, finally, v(s, x) = v'(s, x). Theorem 3 is proved.

The next purpose is an excessive characterization of the payoff v(s, x). Let us note (as can be easily seen) that our definition of a excessive function (with respect to X) coincides exactly with the usual definition of an excessive function (with respect to X'). Therefore we can directly use Theorem 1, Ch. III in [1] and get the following result.

Theorem 3.3 Suppose that condition (1) is satisfied. Then the value v(s, x) is a minimal excessive majorant of the function g(s, x). The value v(s, x) is a Borel measurable function (i.e. \mathcal{B}' -measurable) which can be found by the limit procedure

$$v(s,x) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \lim_{N \to +\infty} Q_n^N g(s,x), \tag{10}$$

where

$$Q_n g(s, x) = \max \left\{ g(s, x), M_{s, x} g(s + 2^{-n}, X_{2+s^{-n}}) \right\}$$

and Q_n^N is the N-th power of the operator Q_n .

Proof. The assertion is a consequence of the coincidence of the values v(s, x) and v'(s, x) and of Lemma 3, Ch. III in [3] which states that

$$v'(x') = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \lim_{N \to +\infty} Q_n^N g(x'),$$

where

$$Q_n g(x') = \max \{ g(x'), M'_{x'} g(X'_{2^{-n}}) \}.$$

Note also that $M'_{x'}g(X'_{2^{-n}})$ is \mathcal{B}' -measurable in x', hence the functions $Q_ng(x'), Q_n^Ng(x')$ and the function v'(x'), being the limit of these functions, are also \mathcal{B}' -measurable.

Thus the value v'(x') is a Borel measurable excessive function (with respect to X') which obviously satisfies the condition

$$M'_{x'} \sup_{t \ge 0} v'(X'_t) < +\infty, \ x' \in \mathsf{E}'.$$

Then, as is well-known (Theorem 2.12, Ch. II in [3]), the paths $v(X'_t(\omega'))$ are right continuous with the left-hand limits on $[0, +\infty)$ $(P'_{x'}$ -a.s.). To prove the main result of the present work we can now apply Theorem 3, Ch. III in [1]. Theorem 4 is proved.

+

Theorem 3.4 Let the gain g(t, x) satisfy (with respect to X) the following conditions

 $\begin{array}{l} 1) \ M_{s,x} \sup_{t \geq s} |g(t,X_t)| < +\infty, \ s \geq 0, \ x \in \mathsf{E}; \\ 2) \ P_{s,x}\{\omega: \lim_{t \downarrow s} g(t,X_t(\omega)) = g(s,x)\} = 1, \ s \geq 0, \ x \in \mathsf{E}. \\ Then \\ \mathrm{i}) \ for \ every \ \varepsilon > 0 \ the \ stopping \ times \end{array}$

$$\tau_{\varepsilon} = \inf \left\{ t \ge s : \ v(t, X_t) \le g(t, X_t) + \varepsilon \right\}$$
(11)

are ε -optimal;

ii) if the function g(t, x) is upper semi-continuous, that is

$$g(s,x) \geq \lim_{t \to s, \ y \to x} g(t,y)$$

and the stopping time

$$\tau_0(\omega) = \inf \{ t \ge s : \ v(t, X_t) = g(t, X_t) \}$$
(12)

is finite $(P_{s,x}$ -a.s.), then $\tau_0(\omega)$ is an optimal stopping time.

Proof. From Theorem 3, Ch.III in [1] we know that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the stopping time

$$\tau'_{\varepsilon} = \inf \left\{ t : \ v(X'_t) \le g(X'_t) + \varepsilon \right\}$$

is ε -optimal:

$$M'_{x'}g(X'_{\tau'_{\varepsilon}}) \ge v(x') - \varepsilon, \ x' \in \mathsf{E}',$$

that is

$$M_{s,x}g(s+\tau'_{\varepsilon}(s,\omega), X_{s+\tau'_{\varepsilon}(s,\omega)}(\omega)) \ge v(s,x) - \varepsilon$$

But it is obvious that $s + \tau'_{\varepsilon}(s, \omega) = \tau_{\varepsilon}(\omega)$, hence

$$M_{s,x}g(\tau_{\varepsilon}, X_{\tau_{\varepsilon}}) \ge v(s, x) - \varepsilon.$$

Assume now the upper semi-continuity of the function g(x'). Then from the same theorem we get again that the stopping time

$$\tau'_0 = \inf \left\{ t \ge 0 : \ v(X'_t) = g(x'_t) \right\}$$

is optimal:

$$M'_{x'}g(X_{\tau'_0}) = v(x').$$

From this, similarly to the previous reasoning, we get the optimality of the stopping time $\tau_0(\omega)$. Theorem 5 is proved.

References

+

- 1. A. Shiryayev, Optimal stopping rules. Springer-Verlag, 1978.
- B. Dochviri and M. Shashiashvili, On optimal stopping of a homogeneous Markov process on a finite time interval. (Russian) Math. Nachr. 156(1992), 269–281.
- 3. R. Blumental and R. Getoor, Markov processes and potential theory. Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- 4. C. Dellacherie and P. Meyer, Probabilities and potential. North-Holland Math. Studies, 29, Amsterdam, 1978.
- B. Dochviri, Optimal stopping of a nonterminating homogeneous standard Markov process on a finite time interval. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, 1994, Issue 4, 97–106.
- B. Dochviri, On optimal stopping of an inhomogeneous standard Markov process. *Georgian Math. J.* 2(1995), No. 4, 335–346.
- P. Babilua, I. Bokuchava, B. Dochviri, and M. Shashiashvili, Optimal stopping problem on a finite time interval. *Bull. Georgian Acad. Sci.* 173(2006), No. 2, 250–253.