

CONVERGENCE OF COSTS IN AN OPTIMAL STOPPING PROBLEM FOR A PARTIALLY OBSERVABLE MODEL

P. Babilua, I. Bokuchava, B. Dochviri, M. Shashiashvili

Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University
0143 University Street 2, Tbilisi, Georgia

(Received: 17.08.05; accepted: 21.03.06)

Abstract

The problem of optimal stopping of a stochastic process with incomplete data is reduced to the problem of optimal stopping of a stochastic process with complete data and the convergence of payoffs is proved when $\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0$, $\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$, where ε_1 and ε_2 are small perturbation parameters of the observable process. Kalman–Bucys continuous and discrete models of partially observable random processes is considered.

Key words and phrases: Partially observable stochastic process, gain function, payoff, optimal stopping, stopping time.

AMS subject classification: 60J05, 91B28, 91B70.

1 Introduction

Let us consider a partially observable stochastic process (θ_t, ξ_t) , $0 \leq t \leq T < \infty$, of Kalman–Bucys model

$$d\theta_t = [a_0(t) + a_1(t)\theta_t + a_2(t)\xi_t] dt + b_1(t)dW_1(t) + b_2(t)dW_2(t), \quad (1.1)$$

$$d\xi_t = [A_0(t) + A_1(t)\theta_t + A_2(t)\xi_t] dt + \varepsilon_1 dW_1(t) + \varepsilon_2 dW_2(t), \quad (1.2)$$

where $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ are constants, the coefficients $a_i(t)$, $A_i(t)$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, $b_k(t)$, $k = 1, 2$, are nonrandom measurable functions, $W_1(t)$ and $W_2(t)$ are independent Wiener processes. It is assumed that in model (1.1), (1.2), θ_t is the nonobservable process and ξ_t is the observable process, where $A_1(t) \neq 0$ [1].

We consider a linear gain function

$$g(t, x) = f_0(t) + f_1(t)x, \quad x \in R, \quad (1.3)$$

and define payoffs by the equalities

$$s^\circ = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{M}^\theta} Eg(\tau, \theta_\tau), \quad s = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{M}^\xi} Eg(\tau, \theta_\tau), \quad (1.4)$$

where $f_0(t)$, $f_1(t)$ are nonrandom measurable functions; \mathfrak{M}^θ , \mathfrak{M}^ξ are the classes of stopping times with respect to the families of σ -algebras $\mathcal{F}_t^\theta = \sigma\{\theta_s : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_t^\xi = \sigma\{\xi_s : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$.

The following notation is introduced:

$$m_t = E(\theta_t | \mathcal{F}_t^\xi), \quad \gamma_t = E(\theta_t - m_t)^2.$$

2. Continuous model

For explain the quite part of this work we should use some notations and restrictions. We assume, that for every t , $0 \leq t \leq T$, the following conditions are satisfied

- 1) $0 \leq f(t) \leq F < \infty$;
- 2) $b_1^2(t) + b_2^2(t) \leq B^2 < \infty$;
- 3) $0 < \underline{A} \leq A_1(t) \leq \bar{A} < \infty$;
- 4) $0 < \underline{a} \leq a_2(t) \leq \bar{a} < \infty$;
- 5) $\Phi_{i1}(t) = \exp \left[\int_0^t a_1(s) ds \right] < c_1 < \infty$, $i = 1, 2$;
- 6) $\Phi_{j2}(t) = \exp \left[\int_0^t A_2(s) ds \right] < c_2 < \infty$, $j = 1, 2$.

Theorem 2.1 *Let partially aftervable random process (θ_t, ξ_t) , $0 \leq t \leq T$, be given by (1.1), (1.2) expressions and payoffs are explained. If conditions (2.1) are satisfied, then the following estimate*

$$s^0 - s^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2} \leq F[2B\pi^{-1}(\underline{A}^{-1} + \underline{a}^{-1})]^{-1/2}(c_1 + c_2)(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)^{1/2} \quad (2.2)$$

is valid.

Poof. Let's use following notations

$$m_t = E(\theta_t | \mathcal{F}_t^\xi), \quad \gamma_t = E(\theta_t - m_t)^2, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\tilde{m}_t = E(\xi_t | \mathcal{F}_t^\theta), \quad \tilde{\gamma}_t = E(\xi_t - \tilde{m}_t)^2. \quad (2.4)$$

According to Theorem 12.1, [1], there exist $W = (W(t), \mathcal{F}_t^\xi)$ Wiener's process, witle which process θ_t and \tilde{m}_t can be considered by following stochastic differentiable equalities

$$d\theta_t = [a_0(t) + a_1(t)\theta_t + a_2(t)\tilde{m}_t] dt + \frac{a_2(t)\tilde{\gamma}_t}{\sqrt{b_1^2(t)+b_2^2(t)}} dW(t), \quad (2.5)$$

$$d\tilde{m}_t = [A_0(t) + A_1(t)\theta_t + A_2(t)\tilde{m}_t] dt + \frac{a_2(t)\tilde{\gamma}_t}{\sqrt{b_1^2(t)+b_2^2(t)}} dW(t) \quad (2.6)$$

and according to Theorem 10.3 of the same reference

$$\frac{d\gamma_t}{dt} = 2a_1(t)\gamma_t + b_1^2(t) + b_2^2(t) - \frac{A_1^2(t)\gamma_t^2}{\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\frac{d\tilde{\gamma}_t}{dt} = 2A_1(t)\tilde{\gamma}_t + b_1^2(t) + b_2^2(t) - \frac{a_1^2(t)\tilde{\gamma}_t^2}{\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2}. \quad (2.8)$$

Now let us define random process $\tilde{\theta}_t$ and n_t by following equalities:

$$d\tilde{\theta}_t = [a_0(t) + a_1(t)\tilde{\theta}_t + a_2(t)n_t] dt + \frac{A_2(t)\gamma(t)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2}} dW(t), \quad (2.9)$$

$$dn_t = [A_0(t) + A_1(t)\tilde{\theta}_t + A_2(t)n_t] dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2} dW(t). \quad (2.10)$$

As [4], we can show, that

$$s^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2} = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{M}^{\varepsilon}} Eg(\tau, m_\tau) = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{M}^{\theta}} Eg(\tau, \tilde{\theta}_\tau), \quad (2.11)$$

where $\tilde{\theta}_t$ process is defined with (2.9). Then with notice 1) of (2.1) we have

$$s^0 = s_1^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2} \leq FE[\sup_{t \leq T} (\theta_t - \tilde{\theta}_t)]. \quad (2.12)$$

Now, to proof of theorem we need to estimate supremum of mathematical expectation of the deferens $\theta_t - \tilde{\theta}_t$ in the interval $[0, T]$. For this reason we use Theorem 4.10 [1] and then consider random process θ_t and $\tilde{\theta}_t$ as the decision of according to system (2.5), (2.6). Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_t - \tilde{\theta}_t &= \Phi_{11}(t) \left[\int_0^t \Phi_{11}^{-1}(s) \left(\sqrt{b_1^2(s) + b_2^2(s)} - \frac{A(s)\gamma_s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2}} \right) dW(s) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \Phi_{12}(t) \left(\frac{a_2(s)\tilde{\gamma}_s}{\sqrt{b_1^2(s) + b_2^2(s)}} - \sqrt{\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2} \right) dW(s) \right] + \\ &\quad + \Phi_{12}(t) \left[\int_0^t \Phi_{21}^{-1}(s) \left(\sqrt{b_1^2(s) + b_2^2(s)} - \frac{A_1(s)\gamma_s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2}} \right) dW(s) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \Phi_{22}^{-1}(s) \left(\frac{a_2(s)\tilde{\gamma}_s}{\sqrt{b_1^2(s) + b_2^2(s)}} - \sqrt{\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2} \right) dW(s) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Now, for $i = 1, 2$, take in following notations

$$Q_i(t) = \int_0^t \Phi_{i1}^{-1} \left(\sqrt{b_1^2(s) + b_2^2(s)} - \frac{A_1(s)\gamma_s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2}} \right) dW(s), \quad (2.14)$$

$$\tilde{Q}_i(t) = \int_0^t \Phi_{i2}^{-1} \left(\frac{a_2(s)\tilde{\gamma}_s}{\sqrt{b_1^2(s) + b_2^2(s)}} - \sqrt{\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2} \right) dW(s). \quad (2.15)$$

Besides, we can notice, that from the differential equalities (2.7) and (2.8) we get such estimation for values γ_t and $\tilde{\gamma}_t$ as

$$\gamma_t = A^{-1}B(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2), \quad \tilde{\gamma}_t \leq \underline{a}^{-1}B(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2). \quad (2.16)$$

It is easy to show with these estimation, that

$$E \sup_{t \leq T} \Phi_{1i}(t)Q_i(t) \leq c_1 \sqrt{2B\underline{A}^{-1}\pi^{-1}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)}, \quad (2.17)$$

$$E \sup_{t \leq T} \Phi_{1i}(t)\tilde{Q}_i(t) \leq c_2 \sqrt{2B\underline{a}^{-1}\pi^{-1}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)}. \quad (2.18)$$

To sum (2.17), (2.18), estimations $\Phi_{11}(t) = \Phi_{21}(t)$, $\Phi_{12}(t) = \Phi_{22}(t)$ and dependents (2.12), (2.13) we get the proof of theorem. ■

3. Discrete model

Let us now consider Kalman-Busy's discrete model for the sequence (θ_n, ξ_n) , $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N < \infty$, where

$$\theta_{n+1} = a_0(n) + a_1(n)\theta_n + b_1(n)\eta_1(n+1) + b_2(n)\eta_2(n+1), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\xi_{n+1} = A_0(n) + A_1(n)\theta_n + \varepsilon_1\eta_1(n) + \varepsilon_2\eta_2(n), \quad (3.2)$$

where $a_i(n)$, $A_i(n)$, $i = 0, 1$, $b_k(n)$, $k = 1, 2$, are nonrandom functions, $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ are constants, $\eta_m(n)$ and $\eta_2(n)$ are independent standard normal random values.

We consider a linear gain function

$$g(n, x) = f_0(n) + f_1(n)x, \quad x \in R \quad (3.3)$$

and define payoffs of by the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} s^0 &= \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{M}^\theta} Eg(\tau, m_\tau), \\ s &= \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{M}^\xi} Eg(\tau, \theta_\tau), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $f_0(n)$, $f_1(n)$ are nonrandom functions, \mathfrak{M}^θ , \mathfrak{M}^ξ are the classes of stopping times with values in $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ with respect to the families of σ -algebras $\mathcal{F}_n^\theta = \sigma\{\theta_i, 0 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_n^\xi = \sigma\{\xi_i, i \leq n\}$.

The following notation is introduced:

$$m_n = E(\theta_n | \mathcal{F}_n^\xi), \quad \gamma_n = E[(\theta_n - m_n) | \mathcal{F}_n^\xi]. \quad (3.5)$$

Let us assume, that the following conditions are satisfied

- 1) $a_2^2(n) < \infty$, $b_1^2(n) < \infty$, $i = 0, 1$, $A_0^2(n) < \infty$,
 - 2) $a_1^2(n) \leq q$, $0 < q < 1$,
 - 3) $0 < \underline{A}_1 \leq A_1^2(n) \leq \bar{A}_1 < \infty$,
 - 4) $0 \leq f_1(n) \leq H < \infty$.
- (3.6)

The following theorem is analogously in result of Fermann's theorem.

Theorem 3.1 *Let, partially observable random sequence (θ_n, ξ_n) is given by system (3.1), (3.2) and conditions (3.5) are satisfied. Then the following estimate*

$$0 < s^0 - s \leq 2H\sqrt{B(1+C)} \cdot (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) \quad (3.7)$$

is valid, where B and C constants are defined by equalities

$$B = \underline{A}_1^{-1} \max \left\{ 1; 2 \max [\max_{0 \leq n \leq N} a_1^2(n); q] \right\}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$C = \max \left\{ \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \left(\prod_{j=k}^n a_1^2(j) \right) \right]; \frac{q}{1-q} \right\}. \quad (3.9)$$

Poof. At first notice that following expression of payoff is

$$s = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{M}^\xi} Eg(\tau, m_\tau) = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{M}^{\tilde{\theta}}} Eg(\tau, \tilde{\theta}_\tau) \quad (3.10)$$

is valid, where $\tilde{\theta}_n$ sequence is explained by means of following recurrence equalities (see [1])

$$\tilde{\theta}_{n+1} = a_0(n) + a_1(n)\tilde{\theta}_n + \beta_n \eta_{n+1}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\beta_n = P(n)Q^{-1/2}(n), \quad (3.12)$$

$$P(n) = (b_1^2(n) + b_2^2(n))A_1(n+1) + a_1^2(n)A_1(n+1) \cdot \gamma_n, \quad (3.13)$$

$$Q(n) = (b_1^2(n) + b_2^2(n))A_1^2(n+1) + a_1^2(n)A_1^2(n+1) \cdot \gamma_n + \varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2 \quad (3.14)$$

After, we notice, that value γ_n satisfies the recurrence equality (see [1])

$$\gamma_{n+1} = a_1^2(n)\gamma_n + b_1^2(n) + b_2^2(n) - P^2(n) \cdot Q^{-1}(n), \quad (3.15)$$

from which we easily get the estimation of γ_n

$$\gamma_n \leq \underline{A}_1^{-1}(\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2). \quad (3.16)$$

Now, estimate the deference $s^0 - s$. We have

$$s^0 - s \leq HE \left\{ \sup_{n \leq N} (\theta_n - \tilde{\theta}_n) \right\}, \quad (3.17)$$

where random sequence $\tilde{\theta}_n$ is defined by (3.11)–(3.14) and θ_n sequence can be considered as follows (see [1])

$$\theta_n = a_0(n) + a_1(n)\theta_n + \sqrt{b_1^2(n) + b_2^2(n)} \cdot \eta_{n+1}, \quad (3.18)$$

where as in (3.11) η_n is the standard normal random values.

Using the equalities (3.11) and (3.18), we get that

$$\theta_{n+1} - \tilde{\theta}_{n+1} = a_1(n)(\theta_n - \tilde{\theta}_n) + (\sqrt{b_1^2(n) + b_2^2(n)} - \beta_n)\eta_{n+1},$$

then with notice that $\theta_0 = \tilde{\theta}_0 = 0$ we can write

$$\theta_n - \tilde{\theta}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i^{(n-1)} (\sqrt{b_1^2(i-1) + b_2^2(i-1)} - \beta_{i-1}) \eta_i, \quad (3.19)$$

where

$$\delta_i^{(n)} = \prod_{j=1}^n a_1(j).$$

Besides, we can see that

$$Q(n) \geq \underline{A}_1^2(b_1^2(n) + b_2^2(n)), \quad (3.20)$$

$$Q(n) \geq A_1^2(n+1)a_1^2(n)\gamma_n. \quad (3.21)$$

According to condition 2) of (3.6) we get

$$a_1^2(n) \leq \max \left\{ q; \max_{0 \leq k \leq N} a_2^2(k) \right\},$$

and according to (3.12), (3.19), (3.21) we can write

$$(\sqrt{b_1^2(n) + b_2^2(n)} - \beta_n)^2 \leq B(\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2). \quad (3.22)$$

Using the description of constant c in the last estimate, with simple transformation we get estimate (3.7). ■

References

1. Liptzer R., Shiryaev A. *Statistics of Random Processes*, Nauka, Moscow, 1974 (in Russian).
2. Dochviri B. M., Shashiashvili M. A. *On the convergence of costs in the problem of optimal stopping of stochastic processes in the scheme of Kalman–Bucy*. Comm. Statist. C—Sequential Anal. **1** (1982), No. 3, 163–176.
3. Dochviri B., *On optimal stopping with incomplete data*. Probability theory and mathematical statistics, Proc. 5th Jap.-USSR Symp., Kyoto/Jap. 1986, Lect. Notes Math. 1299, 64–68, 1988.
4. Dochviri B., Shashiashvili M., *On the convergence of costs in the problem of optimal stopping in the scheme of Kalman–Bucy*. Reports of the Enlarged Session of the Seminar of I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics, **15** (2000), No. 2, 47–49.